

A Common Fixed Point Result for Multi-Valued Mappings in Spherically Complete Ultrametric Spaces

Abdelbasset Felhi^{1,*}

¹King Faisal University, College of Sciences. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Al-hassa, Saudi Arabia

(Received 9 February 2017)

In this paper, we apply the strong contractive type mappings on the results of Rhodes [10] and prove a common fixed point theorem for a single-valued and the multi-valued mappings in spherically complete ultrametric spaces. The presented results unify, extend and improve several results in the related literature.

Keywords: ultrametric space, spherically complete, Multi-valued maps, fixed point.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1978, A. C. M. van Roovij [1] introduced the concept of ultrametric spaces. Since then, several fixed point and common fixed point theorems in the framework of ultrametric spaces have been investigated in [2]-[9]. In 1977, Rhodes [10] listed contractive type mappings which were generalizations of Banach contraction principle.

Now, we give some basic definitions and results which are used throughout the paper.

Definition 1.1 [2] Let (X, d) be a metric space. If the metric d satisfies the strong triangle inequality:

$$d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\} \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X,$$

it said to be ultrametric on X . The pair (X, d) is said to be an ultrametric space.

Example 1.2 The discrete metric d defined on $X \neq \emptyset$ by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y \\ 1, & x \neq y \end{cases}$$

is an ultrametric.

Definition 1.3 [2] An ultra metric space (X, d) is said to be spherically complete if every shrinking collection of balls in X has a nonempty intersection.

Definition 1.4 An element $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point of $S : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ (where 2_c^X is the space of all nonempty compact subsets in X) if $Sx \in Tx$.

Definition 1.5 Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$. The mappings S and T are called coincidentally commuting at $x \in X$ if $STx \subseteq TSx$ whenever $Sx \in Tx$.

Theorem 1.6 (Zorn's lemma) Let S be a partially ordered set. If every totally ordered subset of S has an upper bound, then S contains a maximal element.

In 2002, Lj. Gajic [3] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.7 ([3]) Let (X, d) be a spherically complete ultrametric space. If $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ is such that for any $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\},$$

then T has a fixed point, that is, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x \in Tx$, where H is the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d .

* afelhi@kfu.edu.sa

In this paper, we establish a unique common fixed point theorem for a single-valued and the multi-valued maps involving some strong contractive type mappings in spherically complete ultrametric spaces.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we apply strong contractive type mappings on the results of Rhoades [10] and established some new fixed point results in ultrametric spaces for multi-valued maps. Let us prove our main result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let (X, d) be an ultrametric space. Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ be maps satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(Sx, Sy), d(Sx, Tx), d(Sy, Ty), d(Sx, Ty), d(Sy, Tx)\} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$.

Suppose that

- (i) SX is spherically complete.

Then there exists $w \in X$ such that $Sw \in Tw$.

Assume in addition that

- (ii) S and T are coincidentally commuting at w ;
- (iii) $d(Sx, Sy) \leq d(y, Tx)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then Sw is the unique common fixed point of S and T , that is, $S(Sw) = Sw \in T(Sw)$.

Proof. Assume that $d(Sx, Tx) = \inf_{z \in Tx} d(Sx, z) > 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $B_a = B[Sa, d(Sa, Ta)] \cap SX$ denote the closed ball centred at Sa with radius $d(Sa, Ta) > 0$ for all $a \in X$ and let F be the collection of these balls. We define on F the following partial order

$$B_a \preceq B_b \Leftrightarrow B_b \subseteq B_a.$$

Let F_1 be a totally ordered subfamily of F . We shall prove that F_1 has an upper bound. By condition (i), SX is spherically complete, it follows that

$$\bigcap_{B_a \in F_1} B_a = B \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $Sb \in B$. This implies that $Sb \in B_a$, as $B_a \in F_1$. So $d(Sb, Sa) \leq d(Sa, Ta)$. Since Ta is nonempty compact set, then there exists $u \in Ta$ such that $d(Sa, u) = d(Sa, Ta)$. From (2.1) and by the strong triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sb, Tb) &\leq \max\{d(Sb, Sa), d(Sa, u), d(u, Tb)\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(Sa, Ta), H(Ta, Tb)\} \\ &< \max\{d(Sa, Ta), d(Sa, Sb), d(Sa, Ta), d(Sb, Tb), d(Sa, Tb), d(Sb, Ta)\} \\ &= \max\{d(Sa, Ta), d(Sb, Tb), d(Sa, Tb), d(Sb, Ta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

As $d(Sa, Tb) \leq \max\{d(Sa, Sb), d(Sb, Tb)\}$ and $d(Sb, Ta) \leq \max\{d(Sb, Sa), d(Sa, Ta)\}$, then

$$d(Sb, Tb) < \max\{d(Sa, Ta), d(Sb, Tb)\}.$$

Necessarily, we have $d(Sb, Tb) < d(Sa, Ta)$.

For $x \in B_b$, we have

$$d(Sb, x) \leq d(Sb, Tb) < d(Sa, Ta).$$

Then

$$d(Sa, x) \leq \max\{d(Sa, Sb), d(Sb, x)\} \leq d(Sa, Ta).$$

It follows that $x \in B_a$ and so $B_b \subseteq B_a$. Thus $B_a \preceq B_b$ for all $B_a \in F_1$. Hence B_b is an upper bound in F for the family F_1 . By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal element in F , say B_w . We claim that $Sw \in Tw$. We argue by contradiction, that is, $Sw \notin Tw$. Since Tw is a nonempty compact set, there exists $Sv \in Tw$ such that $d(Sv, Sw) = d(Sw, Tw)$ and $Sv \neq Sw$. We shall prove that $B_v \subseteq B_w$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sv, Tv) &\leq H(Tw, Tv) \\ &< \max\{d(Sw, Sv), d(Sw, Tw), d(Sv, Tv), d(Sw, Tv), d(Sv, Tw)\} \\ &< \max\{d(Sw, Tw), d(Sv, Tv), d(Sw, Sv), d(Sv, Tv), d(Sv, Sw), d(Sw, Tw)\} \\ &= \max\{d(Sw, Tw), d(Sv, Tv)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $d(Sv, Tv) < d(Sw, Tw)$. Now, for $x \in B_v$, we have

$$d(Sv, x) \leq d(Sv, Tv) < d(Sw, Tw).$$

It follows that

$$d(Sw, x) \leq \max\{d(Sw, Sv), d(Sv, x)\} = d(Sw, Tw).$$

Hence $x \in B_w$ and so $B_v \subseteq B_w$. Moreover, $Sw \in B_w$ but $Sw \in B_v$, because $d(Sv, Sw) = d(Sw, Tw) > d(Sv, Tv)$. Then $B_v \subsetneq B_w$, which is a contradiction to the maximality of B_w . Hence

$Sw \in Tw$. Let $z = Sw$. We claim that z is a common fixed point of S and T .

By condition (iv), we have

$$d(z, Sz) = d(Sw, S(Sw)) \leq d(Sw, Tw) = 0,$$

because $Sw \in Tw$, which implies that $z = Sz$. Further, as $Sw \in Tw$, by condition (ii), we get $S(Sw) \in STw \subseteq TSw$. Then, $z = Sz \in Tz$. Hence, z is a common fixed point of S and T .

Let z' another common fixed point of S and T . Suppose that $z \neq z'$. Using the condition (iii), from (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < d(z, z') &= d(Sz, Sz') \\ &\leq d(z', Tz) \\ &\leq H(Tz', Tz) \\ &< \max\{d(Sz, Sz'), d(Sz, Tz), d(Sz', Tz'), d(Sz, Tz'), d(Sz', Tz)\} \\ &= \max\{d(z, z'), d(z, Tz'), d(z', Tz)\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(z, z'), d(z, z'), d(z', Tz'), d(z', z), d(z, Tz)\} \\ &= d(z, z'), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $z = z'$.

If there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(Sx, Tx) = 0$, then $Sx \in Tx$. Similarly, we prove that Sx is the unique common fixed point of S and T and this completes the proof. ■

Corollary 2.2 *Let (X, d) be a spherically complete ultrametric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ be a multi-valued map satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then T has a fixed point. Assume in addition that $d(x, y) \leq d(y, Tx)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then, the fixed point of T is unique.

Corollary 2.3 *Let (X, d) be an ultrametric space. Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be maps satisfying*

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(Sx, Sy), d(Sx, Tx), d(Sy, Ty), d(Sx, Ty), d(Sy, Tx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X, x \neq y$.

Suppose that

(i) *SX is spherically complete.*

Then there exists $w \in X$ such that $Sw = Tw$.

Assume in addition that

(ii) *S and T are coincidentally commuting at w .*

Then Sw is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Corollary 2.4 *Let (X, d) be a spherically complete ultrametric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map satisfying*

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.5 *Let (X, d) be an ultrametric space. Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(Sx, Sy), d(Sx, Tx), d(Sy, Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Suppose that

(i) *SX is spherically complete.*

Then there exists $w \in X$ such that $Sw \in Tw$.

Assume in addition that

(ii) *S and T are coincidentally commuting at w ;*

(iii) *$d(Sx, Sy) \leq d(y, Tx)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Then Sw is the unique common fixed point of S and T , that is, $S(Sw) = Sw \in T(Sw)$.

Corollary 2.6 ([3], Theorem) *Let (X, d) be a spherically complete ultrametric space. If $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ is such that for any $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,*

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\},$$

then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 2.7 *Let (X, d) be an ultrametric space. Let $S : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(Sx, Sy), d(Sx, Ty), d(Sy, Tx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Suppose that

(i) *SX is spherically complete.*

Then there exists $w \in X$ such that $Sw \in Tw$.

Assume in addition that

(ii) S and T are coincidentally commuting at w ;

(iii) $d(Sx, Sy) \leq d(y, Tx)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then Sw is the unique common fixed point of S and T , that is, $S(Sw) = Sw \in T(Sw)$.

Corollary 2.8 Let (X, d) be a spherically complete ultrametric

space. If $T : X \rightarrow 2_c^X$ is such that for any $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(y, Tx), d(x, Ty)\},$$

then T has a fixed point. Assume in addition that $d(x, y) \leq d(y, Tx)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then, the fixed point of T is unique.

-
- [1] A. C. M. van Roovij, Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis, Marcel Dekker, New York (1978)
 - [2] Lj. Gajic, On ultra metric spaces, Novi Sad. J. Math. 31 (2001), 2, 69-71
 - [3] Lj. Gajic, A multi valued fixed point theorem in ultra metric spaces, Math.vesnik, 54 (2002), (3-4), 89-91.
 - [4] K. P. R. Rao, G. N. V. Kishore and T. Ranga Rao, Some Coincidence Point Theorems in Ultra Metric Spaces, Int. J. Math. Analysis, 1 (18) (2007), 897-902.
 - [5] Q. Wang, M. Song, Fixed Point Theorems of Multi-Valued Maps in Ultra Metric Space, Applied Mathematics, 4 (2013), 417-420
 - [6] A. F. Sayed, Common Fixed Point Theorems of Multivalued Maps in Fuzzy Ultrametric Spaces, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2013 (2013), Article ID 617532, 6 pages
 - [7] J. Kubiacyk and A. N. Mostafa, A Multi-Valued Fixed Point Theorem in Non-Archimedean Vector Spaces, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics, 26 (2) 1996, 111- 116.
 - [8] C. Petalas and F. Vidalis, A Fixed Point Theorem in Non-Archimedean Vector Spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), 819- 821.
 - [9] K. P. R. Rao and G. N. V. Kishore, Common Fixed Point Theorems in Ultra Metric Spaces, Journal of Mathematics, 40 (2008), 31-35.
 - [10] B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (1977), 257-290.
 - [11] S.B. Nadler, multi-valued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math. 30 (1969), 475-488.